

# Implementing Art. 14.1 – The potential for CHP, DH and DC

The Swedish case

**Daniel Friberg** 

*First results of a comprehensive assessment* 

Swedish Energy Agency

CA-EED, Vilnius, 2013, 22-23 October



# In Short

- The Swedish DH market is, on a whole, declining due to a diminishing heat demand but there is room for new deliveries.
- Since the DH market is largely developed its difficult to assess specific barriers (of large importance).
- Therefore the CBA consists of national modelling for production at lowest cost.
- Primary energy savings depend largely on the chosen calculation principle.
- DH companies have more knowledge of the market than we do but a mapping has been done with data from bransch organisations and statistical agencies.

Swedish Energy Agency

## Background



District Heating to industry, housing and losses (light blue), TWh

- The DH market is largely built out.
- Losses are diminishing •



There is some room for increasing the share of CHP in Electricity and DH.

Swedish Värmeproduktion i kraftvärmeverk i förhållande till total fjärrvärmeanvändning (inkl förluster)

#### Market shares of DH in the heating market in Sweden



Multidwelling houses (90 %)



Space heating / commercial premisses = ca 70 %

### Assumptions

- The potential for DH investigated is the potential for "new" district heating connections. As a whole deliveries will decline.
- The DH is assumed to replace Heat Pumps in the case of new buildings
- DH is assumed to replace electricity or Oil in the case of existing buildings
- DC is assumed to replace electricity driven cooling.
- The potential for future cogeneration (in DH and industry) takes in to account the development of the diminishing heatload.
- Cogeneration is compared to seperate production of heating and cooling both with national alternatives and specific fuel-usage (as stated by the directive)



### **District heating prognosis for Sweden**

#### District Heating 2011 - 2030

- ca 10 TWh reduction of deliveries due to Energy efficiency measures.
- ca 3 TWh reduction as a result of partial convertion to Heat pumps.

= From 55 TWh in 2011 to 42 TWh in 2030

 Method: Interviews with DH companies and datacollection at DHcompanies as well as assessments of energyefficiency measures and conversions to heat pumps.



#### Assessment of the district heating development

+ 8 TWh new DH by 2030

#### -12 TWh in total





#### The potential for District Heating expansion





# **Cost Benefit Analysis**

- The CBA is made on a national level
- A multitude of reports and studies assuming different in-data.
- Markal (Market allokation) : Optimisation model satisfies, at the least possible cost, the demand for energy. Energy- and CO 2- taxes, Emission allowance units, Green certificates etc. Heating demand in 80 sectors in "Marcal-Nordic".

Net present Value is used for evaluation,

Discount rate at 7 %,

Heat pumps and DH life expectancy at 21 years.

Hydropower at 42 years and nuclear at 35 years.

• Martes: Calculations of 15 representative district heating systems. What is the optimal production-type for building new capacity? (Bio, Waste, Gas etc.)



# ...СВА

- Existing steering measures are sufficient as they dont cause a barrier to developing the DH market.
- Externalities are assumed to be internalised by prices (on CO<sub>2</sub>, etc.)
- The DH-market in Sweden is to a large extent already developed, existing barriers are "natural barriers" i.e. declining heat-base.
- The CBA is in form of assumptions of prices and taxes etc. going in to the models. The models produce the expansion with the lowest cost. Lowest market cost = assumed to be Lowest socioeconomical cost.
- Complemented with a discussion concerning socioeconomical factors



#### The potential for CHP (electricity) in the DH system



14,7 TWh 2020 and 2030 due to declining DH-market (Martes)



#### The potential for CHP electricity in industry



Differences are due to assumptions of industry development, electricity and fuel prices, green certificate prices etc. m.m.

Swedish 8,6 TWh electricity 2020 and 8,8 TWh år 2030

#### **Potential for District Cooling**



Swedish Energy Agency

## **Primary Energy method**

- The directive opens up for interpretations of primary energy method
- Primary energy not the same as energy content in a fuel
- The choice has a large impact on primary energy savings

	Fossils	Peat	Biomass	Waste	Electricity	District heating
"Environment method"	~ 1	~ 1	~ 1	~ 0,6	1,7/2,6	1
"Heat market method"	~ 1	~ 1	~ 0	~ 0	1,9	0,3
"Change principle method"	~ 1	~ 1	~ 0	~ 0	2,9/2,3	0,7/0,6



Primary energy savings using "the change principle method" and the fuel specific production approach, EED, (left) and a Swedish systems approach (right)



	2015	2020	2025	2030
CHP, Industry	0,10	0,15	0,16	0,17
CHP, -DH	0,27	0,33	0,33	0,33
DH	1,74	3,38	4,44	4,91
DC	0,28	0,68	0,89	1,06
SUMMA:	2,39	4,54	5,82	6,47

Considering "realistic" alternative production



Utilising e.g. "the environment method" would yield higher savings as bio would have a PEF of close to 1.



# **Heat Map**

- Data from "Lantmäteriet's" real property register contains 3,2 million objects and consists of information about Sweden's real property, e.g. taxation values and deeds of title.
- Branch organisation SVEBIO have data on all Bio-CHP and Waste CHP plants
- Industry data collected from the reporting to the European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (E-PRTR).
- Electricity grid and production facility data from the Swedish national grid
- Heat demand plot ratio of 0,3 from (heat demand per building space) Lantmäteriet (NUTS data)



# **Thanks for Your Attention!**

Email: <u>Daniel.friberg@energimyndigheten.se</u>

Swedish Energy Agency Eskilstuna, Sweden

http://www.energimyndigheten.se

